

[MS-N2HT]: Negotiate and Nego2 HTTP Authentication Protocol Specification

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.
- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.
- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft [Open Specification Promise](#) or the [Community Promise](#). If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplg@microsoft.com.
- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights.
- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
12/05/2008	0.1	Major	Initial Availability
01/16/2009	0.1.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
02/27/2009	0.1.2	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
04/10/2009	0.1.3	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
05/22/2009	0.1.4	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
07/02/2009	1.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
08/14/2009	2.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
09/25/2009	3.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
11/06/2009	3.0.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
12/18/2009	3.0.2	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
01/29/2010	4.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
03/12/2010	4.0.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
04/23/2010	5.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
06/04/2010	6.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
07/16/2010	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
08/27/2010	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/08/2010	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
11/19/2010	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
01/07/2011	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
02/11/2011	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
03/25/2011	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
05/06/2011	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
06/17/2011	6.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Glossary	6
1.2	References.....	6
1.2.1	Normative References.....	6
1.2.2	Informative References	6
1.3	Overview	7
1.4	Relationship to Other Protocols.....	7
1.5	Prerequisites/Preconditions	7
1.6	Applicability Statement.....	7
1.7	Versioning and Capability Negotiation.....	7
1.8	Vendor-Extensible Fields.....	7
1.9	Standards Assignments	7
2	Messages.....	8
2.1	Transport.....	8
2.2	Message Syntax	8
2.2.1	The WWW-Authenticate Response Header	8
2.2.2	The Persistent-Auth Response Header.....	8
2.2.3	The WWW-Authorization Request Header	8
3	Protocol Details.....	10
3.1	Common Details	10
3.1.1	Abstract Data Model	10
3.1.2	Timers	10
3.1.3	Initialization	10
3.1.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events.....	10
3.1.5	Processing Events and Sequencing Rules.....	10
3.1.6	Timer Events	10
3.1.7	Other Local Events	10
3.2	Client Details.....	10
3.2.1	Abstract Data Model	10
3.2.2	Timers	10
3.2.3	Initialization	10
3.2.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events.....	10
3.2.5	Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules.....	11
3.2.6	Timer Events	11
3.2.7	Other Local Events	11
3.3	Server Details	11
3.3.1	Abstract Data Model	11
3.3.2	Timers	11
3.3.3	Initialization	12
3.3.4	Higher-Layer Triggered Events.....	12
3.3.5	Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules.....	12
3.3.6	Timer Events	12
3.3.7	Other Local Events	12
4	Protocol Examples.....	13
5	Security.....	14
5.1	Security Considerations for Implementers.....	14
5.2	Index of Security Parameters	14

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior 15

7 Change Tracking..... 16

8 Index 18

1 Introduction

Support for SPNEGO authentication is as specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#). The tokens are transmitted using base64-encoding. This document will call out the differences in the Microsoft implementation from what is specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#), where applicable.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are defined in [\[MS-GLOS\]](#):

Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

The following terms are specific to this document:

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as specified in [\[RFC2119\]](#). All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

References to Microsoft Open Specification documents do not include a publishing year because links are to the latest version of the documents, which are updated frequently. References to other documents include a publishing year when one is available.

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information. Please check the archive site, <http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/E4BD6494-06AD-4aed-9823-445E921C9624>, as an additional source.

[MS-SPNG] Microsoft Corporation, "[Simple and Protected GSS-API Negotiation Mechanism \(SPNEGO\) Extension](#)".

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

[RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., et al., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, June 1999, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>

[RFC2617] Franks, J., Hallam-Baker, P., Hostetler, J., et al., "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication", RFC 2617, June 1999, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2617.txt>

[RFC4559] Jaganathan, K., Zhu, L., and Brezak, J., "SPNEGO-based Kerberos and NTLM HTTP Authentication in Microsoft Windows", RFC 4559, June 2006, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4559.txt>

1.2.2 Informative References

[MS-GLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "[Windows Protocols Master Glossary](#)".

1.3 Overview

The SPNEGO HTTP (as specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#)) authentication mechanism is used to authenticate a Web client to a Web server. Any security protocol negotiated under SPNEGO can work under this authentication scheme.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

This document is a companion to the SPNEGO HTTP authentication document, as specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#). It uses the augmented **Backus-Naur Form (BNF)**, as described in [\[RFC4559\]](#), section 4, and relies on both the non-terminals defined in that document and other aspects of the specification HTTP/1.1, as specified in [\[RFC2617\]](#). For more information, see [\[RFC2616\]](#).

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

SPNEGO HTTP authentication assumes the following in addition to any assumptions specified in [\[MS-SPNG\]](#).

It is assumed that the Web server is capable of authenticating users as specified by [MS-SPNG].

The Web client has implemented specification [MS-SPNG] so that it can participate in user authentication to the Web server.

1.6 Applicability Statement

SPNEGO HTTP authentication is used in environments where the client and server support specification [\[MS-SPNG\]](#).

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

Versioning and capability negotiation is handled by the HTTP protocols specified in [\[RFC2617\]](#) (for more information, see [\[RFC2616\]](#)). This protocol has no additional versioning or capability negotiation.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9 Standards Assignments

Parameter	Value	Reference
HTTP auth-scheme	"Negotiate" "Nego2"	[RFC2617] , section 1.2

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

SPNEGO messages are carried in the HTTP authentication exchanges as auth-data (as specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#) sections 4.1 and 4.2) and auth-data2. This document extends RFC 4559 such that the initial challenge contains GSS-API data.

2.2 Message Syntax

The usage of SPNEGO authentication is indicated by the WWW-Authenticate Response Header (as specified in section [2.2.1](#)) with HTTP auth-scheme (as specified in [\[RFC2617\]](#), section 1.2) "Negotiate" or "Nego2". The auth-params (as specified in [\[RFC2617\]](#), section 1.2) exchanged are base64-encoded messages.

2.2.1 The WWW-Authenticate Response Header

If the server receives a request for an access-protected object, and if an acceptable Authorization header has not been sent, the server responds with a "401 Unauthorized" status code (for more information, see [\[RFC2616\]](#), section 10.4.2) and a "WWW-Authenticate" header, per the framework specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#). The initial WWW-Authenticate header does not carry any auth-data when the header is "WWW-Authenticate:negotiate"; it does carry data when the header is "WWW-Authenticate:Nego2". WWW-Authenticate response values MAY be spread across multiple WWW-Authenticate headers, as specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#) section 14.47.

The SPNEGO scheme operates as follows.

```
challenge = "Negotiate" "Nego2" auth-data
auth-data = 1#(gssapi-data)
```

2.2.2 The Persistent-Auth Response Header

If the server successfully authenticates the request, it MAY indicate whether the Authorization header will be required for the next request on the connection. This is part of performance optimization and does not guarantee that an Authorization header will or will not be required.

The Persistent-Auth header has the following grammar:

```
Persistent-Auth = "Persistent-Auth" ":" 1#(persistent-auth-token)
persistent-auth-token = token
```

The Persistent-Auth-token has two possible values: "true" and "false". The client behavior in response to this header is specified in section [3.2.5](#).

2.2.3 The WWW-Authorization Request Header

Upon receipt of the response containing a "WWW-Authenticate" header from the server, the client is expected to retry the HTTP request.


```
credentials= ("Negotiate" | "Nego2") auth-data2  
auth-data2= lencoded-GSSAPI-token
```

This auth-data2 directive contains the base64-encoding of an SPNEGO token returned by GSS_Init_sec_context() with the input token as the token from the WWW-Authenticate header if present.

The "Nego2" authentication scheme is used when and only when the server's WWW-Authenticate header contains "Nego2"; otherwise the "Negotiate" authentication scheme is used.

Only "401" represents an authentication error. For the meaning of any return code other than a success HTTP 2xx code, refer to [\[RFC2616\]](#), section 10.2.

3 Protocol Details

3.1 Common Details

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

The abstract data model for common elements is specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#) and [\[RFC2617\]](#).

3.1.2 Timers

None.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.1.5 Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

No local events, other than those specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#).

3.2 Client Details

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

The client-side abstract data model has one abstract data element in addition to those specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#) and [\[RFC2617\]](#):

persistent-auth-value: A flag for each connection indicating whether authentication will be persisted for a given connection. A value of 1 for this flag signifies that authentication to this server was persisted, and a value of 0 signifies that the authentication to this server was not persisted.

3.2.2 Timers

None

3.2.3 Initialization

The **persistent-auth-value** flag MUST (section [3.2.1](#)) be initialized to 0.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

The Authorization header is only sent by the client. For more information, see [\[RFC2616\]](#) section 14.8.

The Persistent-Auth header is a hint from the HTTP server to the HTTP client. The Persistent-Auth header is only valid when sent with the final response from the server after authentication has completed, and in all other cases it MUST be ignored. After the client has completed authentication with the server it SHOULD process the Persistent-Auth header.

If the persistent-auth-token is set to "true", then the client SHOULD set persistent-auth-value to 1 for the current connection.

If the persistent-auth-token is set to "false", then the client SHOULD set persistent-auth-value to 0 for the current connection.

If the persistent-auth-token is set to any value other than "true" or "false", then the Persistent-Auth header MUST be ignored.

When the Persistent-Auth header is not present and the authentication has completed, then the client SHOULD set persistent-auth-value to 1 if the underlying authentication protocol is NTLM.

When the client sends a request on a connection, then the client SHOULD use the value of persistent-auth-value to determine when to authenticate.

When persistent-auth-value is 1, then authentication SHOULD NOT be performed.

When persistent-auth-value is 0, then authentication SHOULD be performed whenever permitted under the conditions specified by [\[RFC2617\]](#) and [\[RFC4559\]](#).

When the client receives a "401" status code in the response, it MUST set persistent-auth-value to 0.

All other messages are handled by the client as specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#).

3.2.6 Timer Events

None

3.2.7 Other Local Events

Here are no other local events beyond those specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#).

3.3 Server Details

3.3.1 Abstract Data Model

The server-side abstract data model has no abstract data elements beyond those specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#) and [\[RFC2617\]](#).

3.3.2 Timers

None.

3.3.3 Initialization

None.

3.3.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.3.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

The WWW-Authenticate header is only sent from the server. For more information, see [\[RFC2616\]](#) sections 14.47.

Upon successful completion of authentication, the server SHOULD emit a Persistent-Auth header in the response. When the server is configured for connection-based authentication and the current connection is authenticated, then persistent-auth-token SHOULD be set to "true"; otherwise it SHOULD be set to "false".

All other messages are handled by the server as specified in [\[RFC2616\]](#).

3.3.6 Timer Events

None.

3.3.7 Other Local Events

Here are no other local events beyond those specified in [\[RFC4559\]](#).

4 Protocol Examples

An HTTP 1.1 client requests a resource from a server by sending an HTTP GET request as shown in the example below:

```
GET /test.htm HTTP/1.1
User-Agent: WHttpTst Test Harness
Host: webctestlive.ntdev.corp.microsoft.com:543
```

In this message the client is issuing an HTTP GET request to the server for the resource "test.htm".

The resource requested by the client requires client authentication. The server sends an HTTP response indicating this to the client, as shown in the example below:

```
HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
Server: CHATS
Content-Length: 0
WWW-Authenticate: Nego2 YIIBpAYGKwYBBQUCoIIBmDCCAZSgGjAYB ...
```

In this message the server sends an HTTP 401 response to tell the client that it needs to authenticate in order to access the requested resource. The server sets the value of the WWW-Authenticate header to "Nego 2", to indicate to the client that this is the scheme it should use to authenticate.

Subsequently, the HTTP 1.1 client requests a resource from a server by issuing an HTTP GET request. The client also provides authentication information to the server as shown in the example below:

```
GET /test.htm HTTP/1.1
User-Agent: WHttpTst Test Harness
Host: webctestlive.ntdev.corp.microsoft.com:543
Authorization: Nego2 YIIEawYGKwYBBQUCoIIEXzCCBFugDjAMBgorBg ...
```

In this message the client is issuing an HTTP GET request to the server for the resource "test.htm". The client also sets the Authorization header to "Nego 2" and provides the necessary authentication information to authenticate to the server using this scheme.

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

The Simple and Protected Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Negotiation Mechanism (SPNEGO) Protocol Extensions require the client to request mutual authentication services via the mutual authentication flag (as specified in [\[MS-SPNG\]](#) section 3.3.3).

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs:

- Windows® 7 operating system
- Windows Server® 2008 R2 operating system

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

7 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to the [MS-N2HT] protocol document between the May 2011 and June 2011 releases. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.
- An extensive rewrite, addition, or deletion of major portions of content.
- The removal of a document from the documentation set.
- Changes made for template compliance.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the language and formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical or language changes were introduced. The technical content of the document is identical to the last released version, but minor editorial and formatting changes, as well as updates to the header and footer information, and to the revision summary, may have been made.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.
- Content updated.
- Content removed.
- New product behavior note added.
- Product behavior note updated.
- Product behavior note removed.
- New protocol syntax added.
- Protocol syntax updated.
- Protocol syntax removed.
- New content added due to protocol revision.
- Content updated due to protocol revision.
- Content removed due to protocol revision.
- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.

- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.
- New content added for template compliance.
- Content updated for template compliance.
- Content removed for template compliance.
- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type **Editorially updated**.

Some important terms used in the change type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.
- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact protocol@microsoft.com.

Section	Tracking number (if applicable) and description	Major change (Y or N)	Change type
1.2 References	Added explanatory statement regarding the removal of the publishing year from Microsoft Open Specification document references.	N	Content updated.

8 Index

A

Abstract data model

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

[Applicability](#) 7

C

[Capability negotiation](#) 7

[Change tracking](#) 16

Client

[abstract data model](#) 10

[higher-layer triggered events](#) 10

[initialization](#) 10

[local events](#) 10

[message processing](#) 10

[sequencing rules](#) 10

[timer events](#) 10

[timers](#) 10

D

Data model - abstract

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

E

[Examples - overview](#) 13

F

[Fields - vendor-extensible](#) 7

G

[Glossary](#) 6

H

Higher-layer triggered events

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

I

[Implementer - security considerations](#) 14

[Index of security parameters](#) 14

[Informative references](#) 6

Initialization

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

[Introduction](#) 6

L

Local events

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

M

Message processing

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

Messages

syntax

[authorization request header](#) 8

[overview](#) 8

[Persistent-Auth response header](#) 8

[WWW-Authenticate response header](#) 8

[transport](#) 8

N

[Normative references](#) 6

O

[Overview \(synopsis\)](#) 7

P

[Parameters - security index](#) 14

[Preconditions](#) 7

[Prerequisites](#) 7

[Product behavior](#) 15

R

References

[informative](#) 6

[normative](#) 6

[Relationship to other protocols](#) 7

S

Security

[implementer considerations](#) 14

[parameter index](#) 14

Sequencing rules

[client](#) 10

[server](#) 10

Server

[abstract data model](#) 10

[higher-layer triggered events](#) 10

[initialization](#) 10

[local events](#) 10

[message processing](#) 10

[sequencing rules](#) 10

[timer events](#) 10

[timers](#) 10

[Standards assignments](#) 7

Syntax

[authorization request header](#) 8

[overview](#) 8
[Persistent-Auth response header](#) 8
[WWW-Authenticate response header](#) 8

T

Timer events

[client](#) 10
[server](#) 10

Timers

[client](#) 10
[server](#) 10
[Tracking changes](#) 16
[Transport](#) 8

Triggered events - higher-layer

[client](#) 10
[server](#) 10

V

[Vendor-extensible fields](#) 7
[Versioning](#) 7